

## Country Eligibility Criteria for the GRMA Programme

The selection of countries applying for support under the GRMA programme is based on

- I. Minimum eligibility criteria to be fulfilled to have access to the programme and
- II. Additional assessment criteria to prioritize countries most vulnerable to climate change

### I. Minimum Eligibility Criteria

Countries submitting applications to the ISF for support under GRMA Programme have to fulfil the following eligibility criteria table:

Criterion	Fulfilled
The country has ODA status and is not subject of sanctions (USA, EU, UN).	
Support requested includes at least one of the following climate related hazards: wind/storm, flood, excess rain, drought, heat wave, cold spell either directly or indirectly. <sup>[1]</sup> It may also include further perils.	
The country confirms its engagement with a Letter of Intent (LoI) committing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to appoint a senior project manager as responsible contact person.</li> <li>• to provide free access to relevant public statistics and data within the bounds of a sovereign’s legal, security and ethical data regulations.</li> </ul>	
The country mandates GRMA to select and commission modelling and data support on its behalf.	
Optional for individual calls and according to guidance by the ISF Strategic Committee: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• geographical focus.</li> <li>• sectoral level.</li> </ul>	

Applications failing to meet the Minimum Eligibility Criteria will not be considered for support under the GRMA programme.

[1] A combination with other perils is possible.

## II. Additional Assessment Criteria

Applications of countries fulfilling the minimum eligibility criteria will be assessed and prioritized based on the following assessment criteria:

Category	Criteria	Fulfilled
A. Exposure and vulnerability		
	Number of poor and vulnerable people exposed.	
	Climate risk index <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ranking of country based on INFORM Index<sup>BM</sup> for natural hazard &amp; exposure.</li> </ul>	
B. International Commitment and Alignment		
	Alignment with Vision 2025 of the InsuResilience Global Partnership.	
	International Commitment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Climate risk assessment need is mentioned in NDC/NAP.</li> <li>NDC Partnership member country.</li> <li>Climate risk finance instruments cited in partner country NDC/NAP.</li> </ul>	
	Commitment to engage in co-creation process of risk analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Convening and coordination of interested country, region or city cross-department project teams to work with GRMA.</li> <li>Identification and recommendation of local risk experts and/or institutions to be integrated into projects including also local and regional private sector actors.</li> <li>Contribution of public sector end user requirements of relevant modelling and data platforms as e.g. Oasis technical development programme.</li> </ul>	

[2] A detailed description of the INFORM index can be found in the Annex. The original INFORM index ranges from 0 to 10. For the here intended purpose the index is rescaled, multiplying its values by 1.5. Thus, the rescaled values range from 0 to 15.

C. Additionality	
Level and extent of previous climate risk analyses or planned support by other initiatives/support programmes.	
Proportion ( <i>not number</i> ) of population potentially benefiting.	
Own climate risk modelling capacities.	
Opportunities/suitability for deployment of climate and disaster risk finance.	
D. Data and Risk Model Availability (from both global and local sources)	
<p>Data availability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hazard (climate/disaster) data accessibility (public data).</li> <li>• Exposure data availability (e.g. fatalities, infrastructure, sectoral economic data).</li> <li>• Vulnerability data availability.</li> <li>• Quality of data (time period covered, frequency, spatial scale).</li> </ul>	
<p>Risk model availability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public / private model availability for most relevant risks.</li> <li>• Quality and suitability of models available.</li> </ul>	